

## Proposed Kaipara District Plan

### Summary of Submissions for the topic of: Māori Purpose Zone

Submission point No.	Submitter	Provision	Position	Summary of decision requested	Reasons
222.15	Kaipara District Council	General	Amend	<b>ADD</b> a new rule to the Māori purpose zone as follows: <u>MPZ-RX Demolition of a building</u> <u>1 Activity status: Permitted</u> <u>2 Activity Status when compliance not achieved: Not applicable</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To permit the demolition of a building within the Māori purpose zone to be consistent with other zones.</li> </ul>
222.28	Kaipara District Council	General	Amend	<b>ADD</b> a new permitted activity rule for the relocation of buildings for the Māori purpose zone with appropriate standards to be achieved. The activity status when compliance is not achieved is to be Restricted Discretionary. <b>AND</b> Any Consequential amendments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As notified, the Māori purpose zone does not have a permitted activity for Relocation of buildings. There needs to be consistency of this rule with other zone chapters i.e. General Residential zone.</li> </ul>
307.1	E Nathan	MPZ-R3	Amend	AMEND MPZ-R3 to resemble a simplified and clearer version of Rule 15A.10.3(a) from the Operative District Plan. This would result in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The density control being restored to 4ha per dwelling rather than 12-24ha +.</li> <li>No limit on the number of dwellings provided the 'density control' is met.</li> <li>A less complex rule.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MPZ-R3 is overly restrictive, and more restrictive than the existing rule. MPZ-R3 discourages effective and efficient use of land.</li> <li>The reasoning for the restrictive nature of this rule is unjustified. MPZ-R3 conflicts with MPZ-P1.</li> <li>This rule change restricts rather than enables development and is not clearly justified or linked to any reason that this restriction is required and so should be loosened.</li> </ul>
222.32	Kaipara District Council	MPZ-R4	Amend	<b>AMEND</b> MPZ-R4 Minor residential unit and standards to be consistent with the same rule in other zones. <b>AND</b> Review the distance required from the principal residential unit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are discrepancies of standards and writing of the rule between zones. There is a need to review this rule to achieve consistency for ease of implementation. Additionally the distance required from the principal residential unit needs to be reviewed, which is currently stated to be 50m.</li> </ul>
222.37	Kaipara District Council	MPZ-R8	Amend	<b>AMEND</b> MPZ-R8 Rural Industry for consideration to the number of traffic movements for this activity; <b>AND</b> <b>AMEND</b> TRANS Table 1 Traffic Intensity Factor to provide for Rural Industries.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Traffic movements associated with rural industry operations may impact on neighbouring properties, including accessways and affect the amenity of an area. There is a need to consider as to whether the number of traffic movements should be included as a condition within the rule.</li> </ul>
26.88	Chorus New Zealand Ltd, Connexa Ltd, Spark NZ Trading Ltd, Fortysouth Group LP and One NZ	MPZ-S5	Oppose	<b>DELETE</b> MPZ-S5.2.d. <b>AND</b> Any alternative relief and/or consequential amendments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The standard is a setback from the CMA stating that they do not apply to infrastructure and network utilities. As the Infrastructure chapter states that no zone rules apply to infrastructure, these standards (even as exemption clauses) may cause confusion and are inconsistent with the intent of the plan structure.</li> </ul>